Biosourcing of Rhizosphere isolates of *Pseudomonas Fluorescens* against Chick Pea wilt

M. BHARATH BHUSAHAN REDDY, M. SURYA PRAKASH REDDY AND AR WASNIKAR

Department of Plant Pathology, College of agriculture JNKVV Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh Pin 482004, India

Received : 11.04.2020	Accepted : 05.05.2020	Published : 27.07.2020
neceiveu . 11.04.2020	Accepted . 05.05.2020	FUDIISTIEU . 27.07.2020

The present experiment was conducted during year 2018-2019 at JNKVV, Jabalpur.The bacterial bioagents were isolated from different rhizosphere soils and These isolates were used aganist *F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri in vitro* and pot cultivation.The Observations were recorded antagonist effect of *Pseudomonas flurorescens* towards *F. oxysporum f.sp. ciceri* and phenotypic characters like Germination (%), Vigour index (%), Pre-emergence mortality (%), Post-emergence mortality (%), Root and Shoot length of chickpea variety's – JG 11 and JG 14 in vitro and pot cultivation. A mong *Pseudomonas flurorescens* isolates of Pf-2 is effective in vitro and Pot culture

Key words : Bioagent, chickpea, phenotypic characters, rhizosphere,

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L) is cultivated in almost all parts of the world covering Asia, Africa, Europe, Australia. North America and South America continent. It is the largest produced food legume in South Asia and the third largest produced food legume globally, after common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) and ûeld pea (Pisum sativum L.).It is also an important source of protein for millions of people in the developing countries, particularly in South Asia, who are largely vegetarian either by choice or because of economic reasons. In addition to having high protein content (20-22%), rich in ûber, minerals (phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, iron and zinc) and â-carotene. Chickpea is affected by several soil, seed and air borne diseases, among of all diseases Fusarium wilt is epidemics can be devastating to individual crops and cause up to 100% loss under favorable conditions. F. oxysporum f.sp. ciceris infects chickpea at seedling as well as at flowering and pod forming stage (Grewal, 1969), with more incidence at flowering and podding stage if the crop is subjected to sudden temperature rise and water stress (Chaudhry *et al.*, 2007).

Research on plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) over the last three decades has reveal their efficacy in improving the growth of plant by increasing seed emergence, plant weight, plant height and ultimately crop yield (Kloepper et al., 1981). Among PGPRs, most common are Azotobacter, Acenitobacter, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus and Rhizobium spp., etc. During the last decade much of the research has focused on an organisms belonging to Bacillus and Pseudomonas species. Pseudomonas fluorescens is a gram-negative, rod-shaped, and non pathogenic bacterium belong to the genus Pseudomonas. that is known to inhabit primarily the soil, plants, and water (Peix et al., 2009). Pseudomonas are the most extensively studied biocontrol agents for management of soil borne and seed borne pathogen. It posses plant growth promoting rhizobacteria especially Pseudomonas fluorescens assist in plant colonization and suppress various plant diseases caused by soil borne pathogenic microorganisms (Handelsman

^{*} Corresponding author: suryapath017@gmail.com

and Stabb, 1996). The mechanisms of plant diseases control by soil Pseudomonas includes production of antibiotics, siderophores, volatile compounds like HCN, ammonia, and induction of systemic resistant and competition for nutrients (O'Sallivan and O'Gara, 1992). Bacterial antagonists have the twin advantage of faster multiplication and higher rhizosphere competence hence, Pseudomonas fluorescens have been successfully used for biological control of several plant pathogens (Ramamoorthy et al., 2001). Earlier studies indicated that disease could be controlled by application of fluorescent Pseudomonads as seed, soil or root treatment and induced systemic resistance and thus protect the leaves. The synthesis of yellow-green, fluorescent, Pigmentation under UV light is a characteristic property of some Pseudomonas spp. (Stanier et al., 1966).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of soil samples

Composite soil samples was collected from different rhizosphere of healthy plants of Brinjal, Chickpea, Chilli, Paddy, Red gram, Tomato, Soybean, Sesamum at Maharajpur Horticulture Field Breeding Field, Sesamum and Niger Field at JNKVV, Jabalpur.

Isolation of Rhizospheric native Pseudomonas sp. bacteria

Antagonistic bacteria were isolated by following serial dilution technique (Johnson and Curl, 1977). Composite soil sample was collected from rhizosphere of healthy plants. The soil was dried under shade and then used for serial dilution. To get 10⁻¹ dilution, ten gram of this soil was dissolved in 90 ml of sterile distilled water. From this one ml of soil suspension was taken and added to nine ml of sterile distilled water to get 10⁻² dilution. This was repeated until a final dilution of 1-8 for bacteria. One milliliter of each 10⁻⁷ or 10⁻⁸ dilution was pipetted into sterile petri-dishes containing prepared selective medium Pseudomonas agar (fluorescein). These petriplates were incubated in incubator at 28±1°C for 24 hours. On the basis of visual observation, it is identified as Pseudomonas sp. Then by using loop streak method, it was streaked in zig-zag manner over the solidified agar plate, care

was taken not to break the medium surface. The developing colonies were discrete and then transferred to fresh medium for getting pure culture of *Pseudomonas spp.*

 Table 1: List of isolates Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated

 from rhizosphere used in the study

Different rhizosphere Isolates	Name of the crop	Area
<i>Pf</i> 1	Brinjal	Maharajpur Horticulture Field
Pf2	Chickpea	Breeding Field
Pf3	Chilli	Maharajpur Horticulture Field
Pf4	Paddy	Breeding Field
Pf5	Redgram	Breeding Field
Pf6	Tomato	Maharajpur Horticulture Field
Pf7	Soyabean	Breeding Field
Pf8	Seasmum	Sesamum and Niger Field

Collection of disease sample

Chickpea plants showing the symptoms of wilt at seedling, flowering and pod formation stage like drooping and pale-coloured <u>leaves</u> and Discoloration of the <u>pith</u> and <u>xylem</u> in roots were collected from sick plots at Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, JNKVV, Jabalpur.

Dual culture test

The efficacy of biocontrol agents was evaluated in vitro against F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri, by dual culture method (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1985) and the seven days old culture grown on PDA media was used. Inoculum disc of 5 mm pathogen was slotted with cork borer and were picked up with sterile needle. The autoclaved and cooled PDA medium was poured in sterilized glass petri plates (90 mm dia.), allowed to solidify and 5 mm disc of the test pathogen was picked up with sterile needle and inoculated at one end and the P fluorescens was streaked at one end at the same time. They were incubated for 7 days at temperature 28 + 2°C and the observation on colony diameter of the target pathogen were noted vertically and horizontally and their mean was noted as average colony diameter. The percentage inhibition over

control was calculated by following formula (Vincent, 1947)

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

I = Per cent inhibition in growth of test pathogenC = Radial growth (mm) in control

T = Radial growth (mm) in treatment

Seed Source

Four varieties JG11, JG14, JG62 and JG315 of chickpea were taken from Chickpea Breeding Unit, JNKVV, Jabalpur.

Mass multiplication and Pathogenicity test by Soil infestation

Soil infestation method was followed to prove the pathogenicity of the fungus. The Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceris was mass multiplied on sand maize meal medium (90 g of sand, 10 g of maize meal and 40 ml of water) in 250 ml conical flasks.The medium was inoculated with two discs of 10 mm diameter mycelial growth of ten days old culture of Fusarium oxysporum grown on PDA. The flasks were incubated at 28 ± 2°C. for 3 weeks. The three weeks of old inoculum was added to soil in pots @ 100 g kg-1. The seeds of chickpea were sown simultaneously with pathogen inoculation @ 15 seeds per pot and an uninoculated control was maintained. The plants were observed for wilt symptoms. Each treatment replicated thrice (Padmodaya and Reddy, 1998).

Effect of Pseudomonas fluorescens on growth parameters

Data on germination percentage was recorded after 10 days and at the time of maturity Plant height (cm), was Calculated the Vigor index percentage as follows (Abdul Baki and Anderson 1973).

Germination (%) = $\frac{\text{Total number of seed germinated}}{\text{Total number of seed sown}} \times 100$

Vigour index (%) = Germination% × Seedling length on the day of final count

Pre and post-emergence mortality (%)

Pre-emergence mortality was recorded immediate after complete emergence of the plants and post emergence mortality was recorded at 90 days after sowing. The pre- and post-emergence mortality was calculated using the following formula;

	of diseased un-germinated seed ×100	
mortality (%)	Number of sown seed	
Pre-emergence	No. of seedling collapsed	
mortality (%)	Total no. seedling emerged	
Total Mortality (%) =	Pre-emergence mortality (%) + Post-emergence mortality(%)	

Root and Shoot length

The healthy plants were carefully uprooted to measure root and shoot length using scale after 50 days. The experiment of designed in Completely Randomized Design with three replications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Screening of eight differentPseudomonas fluorescens(PF) isolatesagainst Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceris(FOC) under dual culture on PDA

Out of all isolates *Pf* -2isolate was recorded Maximum percent inhibition (50.21%) followed by *Pf*-3(47.92%), *Pf*-1(44.02%), *Pf*-4(41.27%), *Pf*-6(.37.82%) and *Pf*-7(35.30%) on PDA whereas there was less inhibition recorded in isolate *Pf*-5(32.82%), *Pf*-8(26.35%) and there was no inhibition in control. Results explained by Akter *et al.* (2014) reported that the bacterial isolate KMB25 showed maximum inhibition (68.44%) fallowed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates TMB33 (60.89%), PMB38 (60.22%), UMB20 (50.00%) and BMB42 (48.22%)

Table 2: Effect of different isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp ciceris) disease incidence on JG 11 variety of chickpea in Pots

Germination percentage

A mong the treatments maximum germination percent was observed in T₂ (93.33%) followed by T₃(91.10%), T₁(88.88%), T₄(86.66%), T₆(84.44%), T₇(82.22%), T₈(80.00%), T₅(77.77%), T₁₀(73.33%) and lowest germination per cent was observed in T_a (70.00%).

Pre-emergence mortality (%)

Maximum pre emergence mortality was observed T₉(28.91%) fallowed by T₁₀(26.67%), T₅(22.22%), T₈(20.00%), T₇(17.78%), T₆(15.56%), T₄(13.34%), T₁(11.11%), T₃(8.89%) and lowest pre emergence mortality was observed in T₂(6.67%).

Post-emergence mortality (%)

A mong all treatments maximum post emergence mortality was T₉(31.21%) fallowed by T₁₀(18.18%), T₅(16.83%), T₈(16.16%), T₇(16.06%), T₆(15.80%), T₄(15.38%), T₁(15.01%), T₃(14.64%) and lowest post emergence mortality was observed in T₂(14.28%).

Total mortality (%)

Maximum Total mortality was observed T₉(51.00%) fallowed by T₁₀(40.00%), T₅(35.55%), T₈(33.33%), T₇(32.59%), T₆(31.85%), T₄(31.11%), T₁(27.65%), T₃(24.19%) and lowest total mortality was observed in T₂(20.74%)

Table 3: Combined influence of different isolates of PF and FOC on phenotypic characters of chickpea – JG 11 variety Root length

A mong the treatments maximum root length was observed in T₂(18.50cm) followed by T₃(17.00cm), T₁(15.30cm), T₄(15.50cm), T₆(15.23cm), T₇(14.22cm), T₈(13.46cm), T₅(14.53), T₁₀(14.00cm) and lowest root length was observed in T₉(10.33cm).

Shoot length

Maximum shoot length was observed in $T_2(39.40 \text{ cm})$ followed by T_3 (36.20 cm), $T_1(34.76 \text{ cm})$, $T_4(35.16 \text{ cm})$, $T_6(35.33 \text{ cm})$, $T_7(34.90 \text{ cm})$, $T_8(31.46 \text{ cm})$, $T_5(33.70 \text{ cm})$,

 $T_{10}(32.00 \text{ cm})$ and lowest root length was observed in $T_{9}(27.16 \text{ cm})$.

Vigor index (%)

Among the treatments maximum vigor index observed in $T_2(5403.80)$ followed by $T_3(484-$

6.52), T_1 (4449.33), T_4 (4390.19), T_6 (4269.28), T_7 (4038.64), T_8 (3593.60), T_5 (3750.84), T_{10} (3373.18) and lowest vigor index was observed in T_9 (2664.778).

Table 4: Effect of different isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp ciceris) disease incidence on JG14 variety of chickpea Germination percentage (%)

A mong the treatments maximum germination percent was observed in T₂ (88.88%) followed by T₃(86.66%), T₁(84.44%), T₄(82.22%), T₆(80.00%), T₇(77.77%), T₈(73.33%), T₅(75.55%), T₁₀(71.10%) and lowest germination per cent was observed in T₉ (68.88%).

Pre-emergence mortality (%)

Maximum pre emergence mortality was observed $T_9(31.11\%)$ fallowed by $T_{10}(28.89\%)$, $T_5(24.44\%)$, $T_8(26.67\%)$, $T_7(22.22\%)$, $T_6(20.00\%)$, $T_4(17.78\%)$, $T_1(15.56\%)$, $T_3(13.34\%)$ and lowest pre emergence mortality was observed in $T_2(11.11\%)$.

Post-emergence mortality (%)

Maximum post emergence mortality wasT₉(35.45%) fallowed by T₁₀(24.84%), T₅(20.53%), T₈(21.21%), T₇(22.72%), T₆(19.44%), T₄(24.35%), T₁(18.37%), T₃(17.94%) and lowest post emergence mortality was observed in T₂(17.57%).

Total mortality (%)

Maximum Total mortality was observed $T_9(55.55\%)$ fallowed by $T_{10}(46.66\%)$, $T_5(39.99\%)$, $T_8(42.22\%)$, $T_7(40.00\%)$, $T_6(35.55\%)$, $T_4(37.77\%)$, $T_1(31.10\%)$, $T_3(28.88\%)$ and lowest total mortality was observed in $T_2(26.66\%)$.

Table 5: Combined influence of differentisolates of PF and FOC on phenotypiccharacters of chickpea – JG 14 variety

Root length

A mong the treatments maximum root length was observed in $T_2(19.26 \text{ cm})$ followed by $T_3(17.53 \text{ cm})$,

Table 1: Screening of eight different *Pseudomonas fluorescens*isolates against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. ciceris under dualculture on PDA

Treatment	Pf Isolates	Fungal radial growth (mm) on PDA	Growth inhibition(%)
T ₁	<i>Pf-</i> 1	40.66	44.02
T ₂	Pf-2	36.16	50.21
Τ ₃	Pf-3	37.83	47.92
T ₄	Pf-4	42.66	41.27
T 5	<i>Pf</i> -5	49.00	32.54
T ₆	<i>Pf</i> -6	45.16	37.82
T ₇	Pf-7	47.00	35.30
T ₈	<i>Pf</i> -8	53.50	26.30
T ₉ (control)		72.65	00.00
SEm±		1.01	
CD at 5%		3.04	

 $T_{1}(4395x.94), T_{4}(4370.81), T_{6}(4162.40), T_{7}(40-$ 15.26), T₈(3688.49), T₅(3744.25), T₁₀(3365.16) and lowest vigor index was observed in T (2835.10). Usharani etal.(2009) reported that treatment with Pseudomonas fluorescens had increased the plant stand (92.33%), root length(17.25cm), shoot length(36.32cm), vigor index(4982.94), reduced disease incidence (19.43%) as compared with control which had the plant stand(81.33%), root length(11.57cm), shoot length(21.12cm), vigor index(2747.64) and disease incidence (38.25%). Saravanan et al.(2013) reported that Inoculation with fluorescent Pseudomonas induced a significant increase in root and shoot length (123 and 96%, respectively) over the uninoculated control. In contrast, pathogen treatment caused a reduction in root and shoot length (4 to 24% and 13 to 23%, respectively).

 Table 2: Effect of different isolates of Pseudomonas fluorescens on fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp ciceris) disease incidence on JG 11 variety of chickpea in Pots

ls	solates	Treatments	Germination (%)	Pre emergence mortality (%)	Post emergence mortality (%)	Total mortality (%)
	<i>Pf</i> -1	T ₁	88.88	11.11	15.01	27.65
	Pf-2	T ₂	93.33	6.67	14.28	20.74
	Pf-3	T ₃	91.10	8.89	14.64	24.19
	Pf-4	T ₄	86.66	13.34	15.38	31.11
	<i>Pf</i> -5	T ₅	77.77	22.22	16.83	35.55
	<i>Pf</i> -6	T ₆	84.44	15.56	15.80	31.85
	<i>Pf</i> -7	T ₇	82.22	17.78	16.06	32.59
	<i>Pf</i> -8	T ₈	80.00	20.00	16.16	33.33
	ТС	T ₉	71.08	28.91	31.21	51.10
	Control	T ₁₀	73.33	26.67	18.18	40.00
	SEm±		1.72	1.72	0.91	1.86
	CD		5.12	5.12	2.70	5.54

Shoot length

A mong the treatments maximum shoot length was observed in T₂(40.90cm) followed by T₃(39.30cm), T₁(35.76cm), T₄(37.16cm), T₆(36.23cm), T₇(35.90cm), T₈(35.50cm), T₅(35.03cm), T₁₀(32.00cm) and lowest root length was observed in T_a (30.06cm).

Vigor index (%)

A mong the treatments maximum vigor index observed in $T_2(5347.02)$ followed by $T_3(4924.88)$,

CONCLUSION

The above experiment concluded that Pf-2, Pf-3 isolates were shown increasing in germination Percentage, Root, Shoot, length, vigour index, low Pre and Post emergence mortality

REFERENCES

Abdul-Baki., A.A. and Anderson., J.O. (1973). Vigour determination of soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Science.* 13: 630 - 633.

Isolates	Treatments	Germination (%)	Pre emergence mortality (%)	Post emergence mortality (%)	Total mortality (%)
<i>Pf</i> -1	T ₁	88.88	11.11	15.01	27.65
Pf-2	T ₂	93.33	6.67	14.28	20.74
<i>Pf</i> -3	T₃	91.10	8.89	14.64	24.19
Pf-4	T ₄	86.66	13.34	15.38	31.11
<i>Pf</i> -5	T ₅	77.77	22.22	16.83	35.55
<i>Pf</i> -6	T ₆	84.44	15.56	15.80	31.85
<i>Pf</i> -7	T ₇	82.22	17.78	16.06	32.59
<i>Pf</i> -8	T ₈	80.00	20.00	16.16	33.33
ТС	T ₉	71.08	28.91	31.21	51.10
Control	T ₁₀	73.33	26.67	18.18	40.00
SEm±		1.72	1.72	0.91	1.86
CD		5.12	5.12	2.70	5.54

Table 3: Combined influence of different isolates of PF and FOC on phenotypic characters of chickpea - JG 11 variety

 Table 4: Effect of different isolates of Pseudomonas fluorescens on fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp ciceris) disease incidence on JG14 variety of chickpea

Isolates	Treatments	Germination	(%)	Pre emergence mortality (%)	Post emergence mortality (%)	Total mortality (%)
<i>Pf</i> -1	T ₁	84.44		15.56	18.37	31.10
Pf-2	T ₂	88.88		11.11	17.57	26.66
Pf-3	T ₃	86.66		13.34	17.94	28.88
Pf-4	T_4	82.22		17.78	24.35	37.77
<i>Pf</i> -5	T_5	75.55		24.44	20.53	39.99
<i>Pf</i> -6	T ₆	80.00		20.00	19.44	35.55
Pf-7	T ₇	77.77		22.22	22.72	40.00
<i>Pf</i> -8	T ₈	73.33		26.67	21.21	42.22
ТС	T ₉	68.88		31.11	35.45	55.55
Control	T ₁₀	71.10		28.89	24.84	46.66
SEm±		1.85		1.85	2.61	2.43
CD		5.52		5.52	7.77	7.23

 Table 5:
 Combined influence of different isolates of PF and FOC

 on phenotypic characters of chickpea – JG 14 variety

Isolates	Treatments	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Vigor index (%)
<i>Pf</i> -1	T ₁	16.30	35.76	4395.94
Pf-2	T ₂	19.26	40.90	5347.02
<i>Pf</i> -3	T ₃	17.53	39.30	4924.88
Pf-4	T ₄	16.00	37.16	4370.81
<i>Pf</i> -5	T ₅	14.53	35.03	3744.25
<i>Pf</i> -6	T ₆	15.80	36.23	4162.40
<i>Pf</i> -7	T ₇	15.73	35.90	4015.26
<i>Pf</i> -8	T ₈	14.80	35.50	3688.49
ТС	T9	10.50	30.66	2835.10
Control	T ₁₀	15.33	32.00	3365.16
SEm±		0.31	0.29	
CD		0.93	0.87	

- Akter, S., Kadir, J., Juraimi., A.S., Saud, H.M. and Elmahdi., S. (2014). Isolation and identification of antagonistic bacteria from phylloplane of rice as biocontrol agents for sheath blight. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **35**(6): 1095-1100.
- Chaudhry., M.A., Ilyas, M.B., Muhammad, F. and Ghazanfar., M.U. (2007). Sources of resistance in chickpea germplasm against Fusarium wilt. *Mycopathology*. 5(1): 17-21.
- Compant., S., Reiter, B., Sessitsch, A., Nowak., J., Clement, C. and Sarka, E.A. (2005). Endophytic colonization of *Vitis vinifera*L. by plant growth-promoting bacterium Burkholderia sp. strain Ps JN. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 71 (4): 1685-1693.
- Dhingra., O.D and Sinclair, JB., (1985). Basic Plant Pathology Methods. CRC Press, Florida. Vincent, J. M. 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*. 159: 325, 850
- Grewal., J.S. (1969). Important fungal disease of *Cicer arietinum* in India. Pulse Improvement Project Seminar Report held at Karaj Agricultural College, University of Tehran & USDA, January 7-9, pp. 35-40.

- Handelsman., J. and Stabb., E.V. (1996). Biocontrol of soil borne plant pathogens. Plant Cell, American Society of Plant Physiologists. 8(10):1855-1869.
- Johnson., L.F. and Curl., EA. (1977). Methods for research on the ecology of soil borne plant pathogens. Burgess Publishing Company, Minneapolis: 27-35.
- Kloepper., J.W and Schroth., M.N. (1981). Relationship of in vitro antibiosis of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria to growth and the displacement of root microflora. *Phytopathology*. 71: 1020-1024.
- O'Sullivan., O.J and O'Gara., F. (1992). Traits of fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. involved in suppression of plant root pathogens. *Microbia Reviews*. 56(4):662-676.
- Padmodaya., B. and Reddy., H.R. (1998). Screening of antagonists against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* causing seedling disease and wilt in tomato. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*. 28(3):339-341.
- Peix., R.A, Bahena., M.H and Velazquez., E. (2009). Historical evolution and current status of the taxonomy of genus Pseudomonas. Infect Genet Evolution. 9(6): 1132-1147.

- Ramamoorthy., V. Viswanathan., R. Raguchander., T, Prakasan., V. and Samiyappan., R. (2001). Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. *Crop Protection*. 20:1-11.
- Saravanan., S, Muthumanickam., P, Saravanan., T.S and Santhaguru., K.(2013). Antagonistic Potential of fluorescent pseudomonas and its impact on growth of tomato challenged with phytopathogens. *African Crop Science Journal*. 21(1): 29 – 36.
- Stanier., R.Y, Palleroni., N.J and Doudoroff., M. (1966). The aerobic pseudomonads: a taxonomic study. *Jounal of Genaral Microbiology*. 43: 159-27.
- Usharani., S, Christopher., D.J and Sujaritha., A. (2009). Effect of delivery system of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on the rhizosphere survival and management of fusarial wilt of tomato. *Journal of Biological Control.* 23(2): 195-198.
- Vincent., J.M. 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*. 159: 325- 850.

. .